

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Die Schweizerische Post AG

**Primary Credit Analyst:**

Harm Semder, Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-158; harm.semder@spglobal.com

**Secondary Contact:**

Benjamin Heinrich, CFA, FRM, Frankfurt +49 (0) 69 33999 167; benjamin.heinrich@spglobal.com

### Table Of Contents

---


Major Rating Factors

Outlook

Rationale

Related Criteria

# Die Schweizerische Post AG



## Major Rating Factors

<b>Strengths:</b>	<b>Weaknesses:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Legal mandate to provide national postal services and basic payment transaction infrastructure.</li> <li>Extremely high likelihood of extraordinary support for the group from the Swiss government.</li> <li>Above-average funding profile and superior liquidity position.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Narrow business model in financial services business due to restriction under Switzerland's postal law.</li> <li>Structural decline in mail volumes combined with high fixed costs.</li> </ul>

**Outlook: Stable**

The stable outlook on Die Schweizerische Post AG (DSP) and its banking subsidiary PostFinance AG (together, the Swiss Post group) reflects our stable outlook on the ultimate owner, the Swiss Confederation, over our 24-month forecast horizon. We expect that the Swiss Post group's very close links to the state, its ownership, and its mandate to provide key public services will not change in the foreseeable future. Moreover, the outlook reflects our view that the group will maintain a sound financial profile that will be relatively resilient to increasing economic imbalances in Switzerland.

We regard core members of the Swiss Post group, such as PostFinance, as government-related entities (GREs) with an extremely high likelihood of receiving extraordinary government support if needed. Since the Swiss Post group operates essentially on behalf of the government, it provides key public services to the Swiss population, and it has a very strong and durable link with the government. Consequently, any change of group members' roles and links with the government could lead us to revise our assessment of their GRE status. This in turn could have negative rating implications for DSP as the group's nonoperating holding company (NOHC).

We view the likelihood of a positive rating action as remote at this stage, because this would require a material strengthening of the unsupported group credit profile (GCP) by three notches or more to 'aa-' or better. A positive rating action would also depend on our view that a long-term mirroring of the ratings on the Swiss Post group with those on the Swiss Confederation is appropriate in the absence of an explicit guarantee.

**Rationale**

We derive our issuer credit rating on DSP from the GCP. Our 'a-' unsupported GCP on the Swiss Post group, headed by DSP, stems from its core banking subsidiary PostFinance's 'a-' anchor, the starting point of our ratings assessment for banks in Switzerland. Our 'a-' anchor for the Swiss Post group is based on PostFinance's blended economic risk from its investment exposures and its operations in Switzerland. Moreover, we regard the Swiss Post group's business position as adequate as we expect the group's very stable business generation and its position as the legally mandated provider of postal, financial, and payment services through the post office network in Switzerland to remain unchanged for the time being.


We view the Swiss Post group's capital and earnings as adequate, primarily because our projected risk-adjusted capital (RAC) ratio for the group over the next 18-24 months is 8%-9%, after 7.4% as of Dec. 31, 2016. We consider the Swiss Post group's overall risk position as moderate, mainly reflecting the single-name and sector concentrations in PostFinance's investment portfolio, and that our RAC ratio cannot fully capture the risks in DSP's nonbanking activities.

We consider the Swiss Post group's funding above average and its liquidity position strong, mainly reflecting PostFinance's operations and DSP's close ties to the Swiss government. Furthermore, we consider the nonbanking activities, which are based on cash flows, to be neutral to our funding and liquidity assessment. Consequently, our funding and liquidity metrics for the group, although outstanding compared with those of banks we rate globally, do not fully reflect the group's funding and liquidity profile.

As a result, the GCP is 'aa+' when we include the likelihood of support from the Swiss Confederation (unsolicited

ratings AAA/Stable/A-1+).

On June 26, 2013, DSP's legal form changed to a special-law joint-stock company from that of a public-law institution. Simultaneously, the main operating divisions were legally segregated from DSP, which will remain their full owner. The Swiss Post group comprises the holding company, DSP, and three main subsidiaries: PostFinance (financial services), Post CH AG (communication/logistic operations), and PostAuto AG (public passenger transport) (see chart).



Although DSP is an NOHC, its probability of default is not materially different from that of the group's core operating subsidiaries, in our view. This is because we believe that any extraordinary government support for core group members, such as PostFinance, would be supplied through DSP. Our view is supported by the current legal framework, under which DSP has an implicit financing agreement with the federal treasury that allows it to receive funding at very short notice if in distress. A capital injection would require approval from the Swiss parliament. We therefore do not consider DSP to be structurally subordinated to the operating entities.

As an NOHC, DSP relies on distributions from its operating subsidiaries, including dividend payments and license fees, to repay its outstanding debt (Swiss francs [CHF] 1.6 billion at year-end 2016). The diversity of the Swiss Post group's activities supports our equalization of the rating on DSP with that on PostFinance, although PostFinance has been regulated by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA) since June 2013.

We have used our bank rating methodology to determine the Swiss Post's GCP because the main subsidiary--PostFinance--is a major deposit-taking institution in Switzerland and dominates the group's balance sheet and operating profit (96% and 53%, respectively, as of year-end 2016). Nevertheless, we include the group's corporate

activities in our overall assessment.

### Anchor: 'a-' to reflect exposures in PostFinance's investment portfolio

Our criteria use our Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment (BICRA) economic risk and industry risk scores to determine a bank's anchor stand-alone credit profile (SACP), the starting point in assigning an issuer credit rating. Our anchor for a bank operating mainly in Switzerland is 'a-'. We view the trends for both economic and industry risk as stable.

Our 'a-' anchor for the Swiss Post group draws on our BICRA methodology and our view of the weighted-average economic risk in the countries PostFinance is exposed to through its large investment portfolio. About 10% of the portfolio relates to countries with comparatively higher economic risk than Switzerland. Therefore, the anchor for PostFinance is sensitive to an increase in exposure to such countries.

Our economic risk score of '2' for Switzerland is supported by the country's highly diversified and competitive economy, very high household income levels, and banks' prudent loan underwriting standards. However, it also reflects that risks remain owing to the cumulative rise in Swiss house prices and domestic lending over several years. Although these imbalances are still low in a global context, they have also led the regulator to enact macro-prudential measures to rein in robust growth in mortgage indebtedness. We recognize that house price and lending growth have cooled since the beginning of 2014. However, in our view, house prices and household indebtedness remain historically high, in particular when considering the low rate of home ownership in Switzerland. The very high mortgage debt is mitigated by the large amount of financial assets, including pensions, held by households in Switzerland.

Our industry risk score of '2' primarily reflects the banking sector stability and a relatively high share of deposit funding. Banks' net interest margins continue to decline gradually, given the pressure on deposit margins from the negative-yield environment. This is partly offset, however, by higher margins on lending products, fee income from investment advisory-related activities, and cost management. As a result, banks are still able to generate returns on core banking products that are adequate to meet their cost of capital. Moreover, in our view, the Swiss regulator's regulatory initiatives are more stringent than those in other European banking industries.

**Table 1**

Die Schweizerische Post AG Key Figures					
	--Year-ended Dec. 31--				
(Mil. CHF)	2017*	2016	2015	2014	2013
Adjusted assets	126,754.0	126,213.0	119,891.0	124,300.0	120,032.0
Customer loans (gross)	16,214.0	13,278.0	11,399.0	11,301.0	10,018.0
Adjusted common equity	5,778.0	3,784.0	3,609.0	4,277.0	5,076.0
Operating revenues	3,979.0	7,891.0	7,964.0	8,065.0	7,926.0
Noninterest expenses	3,466.0	7,240.0	7,127.0	7,196.0	6,816.0
Core earnings	394.0	525.3	631.0	638.0	1,751.0

\*Data as of June 30.

## **Business position: Sound market position as the nation's postal provider and leading deposit-taking institution**

We regard the Swiss Post group's business position as adequate as we expect the group's very stable business generation and its position as the legally mandated provider of postal, financial, and payment services through the post office network in Switzerland to remain unchanged for the time being.

DSP is the incumbent postal operator in Switzerland. It has a dense branch network and its revenues totaled CHF4.0 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2017, compared with CHF4.1 billion in the first half of 2016. DSP's assets totaled CHF127 billion as of June 30, 2017, and it is the second-largest employer in Switzerland, with more than 43,000 employees (full-time equivalent).

DSP's banking subsidiary PostFinance is one of the country's largest retail banks. Mid-year 2017, it had total assets of CHF121 billion, and about three million retail and business clients. PostFinance has a legal mandate to provide basic services for payment transactions in Switzerland according to Swiss postal law, which we expect will continue over the medium term. We expect the bank to build on its leading position in domestic payment transactions; it is effectively party to two-thirds of non-cash payments in Switzerland, with about one trillion transactions annually. The banking operations should, in our view, further benefit from very strong customer confidence, shown by high client penetration, especially among retail and business customers, and its solid market share of domestic customer deposits (estimated at 15%), as demonstrated by ongoing customer asset inflow.

However, Swiss postal law prohibits PostFinance from lending; therefore it invests its excess liquidity in the Swiss National Bank (SNB) and in the international capital markets. We do not expect this restriction to change any time soon. We understand that PostFinance has no intention to expand its business outside Switzerland (apart from payment services).

The Swiss Post group's share of nonbanking activities accounted for a stable 38% of its much increased CHF547 million operating profit as of June 30, 2017, up from CHF383 million in mid-2016, mainly owing to much lower depreciation needs at Postfinance. The nonbanking activities provide positive revenue diversification, in our view. These activities are supported by the Swiss Post group's strong competitive position as Switzerland's national postal provider, and the profitability and favorable growth prospects of the logistics business.

The Swiss Post group's market-leading position is also supported by Switzerland's conservative market liberalization policy compared with that in EU countries. We do not expect any significant competitor to enter the market over the next few years. Furthermore, we view as positive the Swiss Post group's business diversification and granular customer base, which allow it to maximize the use of its large distribution network. We consider that regulatory or legislative changes pose the key risk for the group's business stability, although we do not expect such changes to materialize over the medium term.

The group's strengths are partly mitigated by structurally declining mail volumes and the high fixed costs of maintaining the postal branch network, which will translate into decreasing revenues from the non-banking activities. Furthermore, geographic revenue diversification remains low, with 90% generated domestically. We believe that the fairly stable cash flows from the mail business will erode over time and the Swiss Post group will not be able to fully compensate for this erosion with income from the logistics business. In addition, we view the Swiss Post group's

operating structure as fairly inflexible, exacerbating its declining profitability. We note, however, that the group is implementing restructuring measures to gradually improve operating efficiency.

We consider DSP's management and strategy to be prudent, led by the public mandate. The financial targets set by the Swiss Federal Council guarantee sustainable profitability and, in our view, are not overly ambitious. The legal restructuring has laid a foundation for a more modern group, regulated by competent authorities, which we consider essential in light of the evolving postal market and the strong growth of the retail bank's activities over the past five years.

**Table 2**

Die Schweizerische Post AG Business Position					
	--Year-ended Dec. 31--				
(%)	2017*	2016	2015	2014	2013
Total revenues from business line (CHF mil.)	3,979.0	7,935.0	7,964.0	8,065.0	7,926.0
Commercial & retail banking/total revenues from business line	26.4	25.9	25.9	27.2	29.1
Other revenues/total revenues from business line	73.6	74.1	74.1	72.8	70.9
Return on equity	14.0	12.0	13.4	12.0	31.1

\*Data as of June 30.

### Capital and earnings: Adequate capitalization and stable operating profitability

We view the Swiss Post group's capital and earnings as adequate, primarily because our projected RAC ratio for the group over the next 18-24 months is 8%-9%, after 7.4% as of Dec. 31, 2016. Our RAC ratio forecast is much lower since 2015, mainly reflecting increased transparency on regulatory risk reporting under FINMA, some shifts in the customer group and geographic split reporting, and higher increased pension liabilities. The main factors for the Swiss Post group's risk-weighted assets (RWA) are credit risk in PostFinance's large investment portfolio (24% of S&P Global Ratings' RWA figure) and operational risk (29% of our RWA), mainly in the nonbanking business lines, based on their large revenue contribution.

Furthermore, we consider the Swiss Post group's quality of capital--consisting of paid-in capital and reserves--to be very strong. We understand that the group manages the capital at the holding level, that is, excess capital is held by DSP. The group operations are self-financed and DSP's priority is repaying the existing external funding out of dividends and cash flow from operating subsidiaries. The group's earnings quality is, in our view, also very strong, reflecting the high share of stable net interest and net commission income from the financial services business and the relatively robust revenues from the non-banking activities.

We believe that the Swiss Post group's earnings will remain stronger than the industry average, despite likely subdued profitability at the postal operations. We forecast pretax profits at about CHF600 million annually in 2017-2019, and a group three-year average earnings buffer above 100 basis points (bps), which indicates that earnings have a strong capacity to cover normalized losses. However, we believe operating efficiency will remain weak, based on our projected cost-to-income ratio of about 90 bps, which is not directly comparable with other Swiss financial institutions due to the costs of the postal and logistic operations of the Swiss Post group.

Table 3

Die Schweizerische Post AG Capital And Earnings					
--Year-ended Dec. 31--					
(%)	2017*	2016	2015	2014	2013
Criteria reflected in RAC ratios	N/A	2017 RAC Criteria	2010 RAC Criteria	2010 RAC Criteria	2010 RAC Criteria
S&P RAC ratio before diversification	N/A	7.4	7.5	9.7	16.8
S&P RAC ratio after diversification	N/A	7.2	8.3	10.1	17.8
Adjusted common equity/total adjusted capital	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Net interest income/operating revenues	0.0	10.9	12.0	12.5	12.5
Fee income/operating revenues	N/A	7.0	7.3	7.1	7.1
Market-sensitive income/operating revenues	N/A	3.9	2.7	2.5	2.3
Noninterest expenses/operating revenues	87.1	91.8	89.5	89.2	86.0
Preprovision operating income/average assets	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.9
Core earnings/average managed assets	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.5	1.5

\*Data as of June 30. N/A--Not applicable.

Table 4

Die Schweizerische Post AG Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Data					
(CHF 000s)	Exposure*	Basel III RWA	Average Basel III RW (%)	S&P Global RWA	Average S&P Global RW (%)
<b>Credit risk</b>					
Government and central banks	42,118,672	338,993	1	158,148	0
Institutions and CCPs	61,366,057	10,273,361	17	10,016,215	16
Corporate	14,612,944	12,960,732	89	10,277,577	70
Retail	1,350,100	1,332,474	99	987,523	73
Of which mortgage	0	0	0	0	0
Securitization§	0	0	0	0	0
Other assets†	2,272,000	20,830	1	2,247,657	99
Total credit risk	121,719,773	24,926,389	20	23,687,119	19
<b>Credit valuation adjustment</b>					
Total credit valuation adjustment	--	537,869	--	0	--
<b>Market risk</b>					
Equity in the banking book	1,724,721	2,490,915	144	12,088,170	701
Trading book market risk	--	207,680	--	311,520	--
Total market risk	--	2,698,595	--	12,399,689	--
<b>Operational risk</b>					
Total operational risk	--	2,546,331	--	15,121,875	--
(CHF 000s)	Basel III RWA		S&P Global RWA		% of S&P Global RWA
<b>Diversification adjustments</b>					
RWA before diversification	31,968,505		51,208,683		100
Total Diversification/Concentration Adjustments	--		1,175,736		2



Table 4

Die Schweizerische Post AG Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Data (cont.)				
RWA after diversification	31,968,505		52,384,419	102
(CHF 000s)	Tier 1 capital	Tier 1 ratio (%)	Total adjusted capital	S&P Global RAC ratio (%)
<b>Capital ratio</b>				
Capital ratio before adjustments	5,482,294	17.1	3,784,000	7.4
Capital ratio after adjustments†	5,482,294	17.1	3,784,000	7.2

\*Exposure at default. §Securitization exposure includes the securitization tranches deducted from capital in the regulatory framework. †Other assets includes Deferred Tax Assets (DTAs) not deducted from ACE. ‡Adjustments to Tier 1 ratio are additional regulatory requirements (e.g. transitional floor or Pillar 2 add-ons). RWA--Risk-weighted assets. RW--Risk weight. RAC--Risk-adjusted capital. CHF--Swiss Franc. Sources: Company data as of Dec. 31, 2016, S&P Global.

### Risk Position: Operational risks in nonbank activities and concentration risks in PostFinance's large investment portfolio

We consider the Swiss Post group's overall risk position as moderate, mainly reflecting the single-name and sector concentrations in PostFinance's investment portfolio. In addition, although we incorporate the nonbanking activities in our RAC ratio, we consider that our RAC framework cannot fully capture the risks in these operations. PostFinance is not permitted to lend, according to Swiss postal law. Consequently, it invests most of its excess liquidity in the international capital markets or places it with the central bank.

PostFinance's investments totaled about CHF76 billion (64% of total assets) on June 30, 2017, comprising mainly covered bonds (27% of investment portfolio) and public-sector bonds (45%). We expect the portfolio's quality to remain very high--38% rated 'AAA' or 89% at least 'A'. We expect PostFinance to maintain its conservative investment rules, which, in our view, will continue to be reflected in the good quality of the portfolio, despite risks from high single-name and sector exposures. It should be noted that PostFinance records more than 90% of its financial assets as "held to maturity," which reduces reported earnings volatility. PostFinance has no trading book, but is marginally exposed to market risk from foreign currency positions in international payment transactions.

The Swiss Post group's large pension deficit, which is vulnerable to interest-rate movements, poses an additional risk for the group. However, the Swiss Post group started applying the revised International Accounting Standard No. 19 in 2013, so unrecognized actuarial losses are recognized in the balance sheet under equity with a retroactive negative equity adjustment of CHF2.5 billion as of Dec. 31, 2012. As of end-2016, pension deficits totaled CHF3.5 billion following a CHF1 billion negative equity adjustment in the same year, which is recognized in our RAC calculations.

Table 5

Die Schweizerische Post AG Risk Position					
	--Year-ended Dec. 31--				
(%)	2017*	2016	2015	2014	2013
Growth in customer loans	44.2	16.5	0.9	12.8	14.7
Total diversification adjustment / S&P RWA before diversification	N/A	2.3	(8.9)	(4.5)	(5.4)
Total managed assets/adjusted common equity (x)	22.0	33.5	33.3	29.1	23.7
New loan loss provisions/average customer loans	N.M.	0.2	(0.0)	0.9	(0.6)
Net charge-offs/average customer loans	N.M.	N.M.	N.M.	N.M.	N.M.
Gross nonperforming assets/customer loans + other real estate owned	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1

Table 5

Die Schweizerische Post AG Risk Position (cont.)					
(%)	--Year-ended Dec. 31--				
	2017*	2016	2015	2014	2013
Loan loss reserves/gross nonperforming assets	N/A	285.7	173.7	266.7	185.7

\*Data as of June 30. N/A--Not applicable. N.M.--Not meaningful.

### Funding and liquidity: One of the largest deposit-taking institutions in Switzerland, with ample liquidity

We consider the Swiss Post group's funding above average and its liquidity position strong, mainly reflecting PostFinance's operations and DSP's close ties to the Swiss government. Furthermore, we consider the nonbanking activities, which are based on cash flows, to be neutral to our funding and liquidity assessment. Consequently, our funding and liquidity metrics for the group, although outstanding compared with those of banks we rate globally, do not fully reflect the group's funding and liquidity profile.

With customer deposits of CHF112 billion on June 30, 2017, the group is a cash provider in the domestic interbank market. Customer deposits comprise equal shares of granular and very stable retail and business accounts. The group does not rely on wholesale funding and so far has a limited amount of debt at the holding company level (CHF1.6 billion). We therefore expect our stable funding ratio to remain superior to the ratios of most global banks at about 250% in 2017-2019, compared with 270% as of June 30, 2017 (PostFinance stood at 470% as of June 30, 2017).

We consider liquidity to be strong because DSP's liquidity sources would allow it to operate for more than 12 months in the event of sudden customer withdrawals, without resorting to the debt capital markets. This is demonstrated by DSP's ratio of broad liquid assets to short-term wholesale funding of about 24x as of year-end 2016, which is superior to that of global banks.

Since midyear 2011, the Swiss Post group's retail finance segment has been placing excess liquidity with the SNB, where it now holds a liquidity buffer of more than CHF40 billion. We do not expect a significant reduction in PostFinance's liquidity position at the SNB in the short term, despite the negative interest on sight deposits at the SNB and the anticipated increase in PostFinance's investment activity in the capital market, under its conservative policy. In addition, the group has a substantial portfolio of assets eligible for sale-and-repurchase transactions with the SNB, access to bank lines, and a financing agreement with the federal treasury. We therefore consider the group able to continue operating for more than 12 months in the event of sudden customer withdrawals at PostFinance, without resorting to the debt capital markets.

Table 6

Die Schweizerische Post AG Funding And Liquidity					
(%)	--Year-ended Dec. 31--				
	2017*	2016	2015	2014	2013
Core deposits/funding base	97.3	95.8	97.5	98.6	98.8
Customer loans (net)/customer deposits	14.5	12.0	10.6	10.0	9.2
Long term funding ratio	99.4	97.0	98.7	99.8	100.0
Stable funding ratio	200.8	202.4	406.3	438.5	469.5

Table 6

Die Schweizerische Post AG Funding And Liquidity (cont.)					
	--Year-ended Dec. 31--				
(%)	2017*	2016	2015	2014	2013
Short-term wholesale funding/funding base	0.6	3.1	1.3	0.2	0.0
Broad liquid assets/short-term wholesale funding (x)	97.3	19.3	63.1	351.5	3,176.9
Net broad liquid assets/short-term customer deposits	59.4	59.0	84.5	86.7	87.5
Short-term wholesale funding/total wholesale funding	21.9	73.6	53.2	17.7	2.3
Narrow liquid assets/3-month wholesale funding (x)	N.M.	30.7	63.9	19,366.2	N/A

\*Data as of June 30. N/A--Not applicable. N.M.--Not meaningful.

### External support: The Swiss Post group benefits from extraordinary government support

Because DSP is an NOHC we do not assess its SACP; rather, we derive the ratings on DSP from the Swiss Post group's GCP, including potential government support. The supported GCP of 'aa+' mainly reflects the operations of PostFinance, which we regard as a GRE. The GCP is five notches higher than the unsupported GCP of 'a-' because of our view of an extremely high likelihood of government support for the core subsidiaries in the event of stress.

We equalize the ratings on DSP with the supported GCP because, in our view, the probability of default of DSP--the parent and holding company--is the same as that of the core operating companies. This follows our understanding that any potential financial support from the Swiss government will likely be directed to DSP instead of to the subsidiaries, in accordance with the legal framework in place. DSP would then allocate such support to its operating subsidiaries as necessary.

The Swiss Confederation is DSP's sole owner, and DSP reports quarterly to the government, which also appoints DSP's management and supervisory boards. Two regulatory boards supervise the group's adherence to its public service mandate. We regard the possibility of full or even partial privatization of DSP as very remote in the medium term.

Based on enhancements to the Swiss bank resolution regime that came into effect on Jan. 1, 2016, we consider it uncertain that the Swiss sovereign would provide extraordinary government support to systemically important commercial banks like PostFinance. We also consider the Swiss resolution regime to be effective--that is, likely to ensure an orderly bail-in of liabilities to ensure that stressed systemically important institutions remain going concerns. We can therefore include notches of uplift for systemically important commercial banks that we expect will build sizable bail-in capacity buffers (additional loss-absorbing capacity) over the coming two-to-four years.

However, we consider GRE support to be the stronger external support element, given that it provides more notches of uplift than any uplift potentially available under our criteria for additional loss-absorbing capacity. Moreover, in our view, the Swiss resolution framework does not impede state or cantonal owners' ability to provide extraordinary support to banks that we consider to be GREs.

### Additional rating factors: None

No other factors affect the ratings.

## Related Criteria

- Criteria - Financial Institutions - General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Banks: Bank Rating Methodology And Assumptions: Additional Loss-Absorbing Capacity, April 27, 2015
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Banks: Quantitative Metrics For Rating Banks Globally: Methodology And Assumptions, July 17, 2013
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Banks: Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Banks: Banks: Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Anchor Matrix										
Industry Risk	Economic Risk									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	a	a	a-	bbb+	bbb+	bbb	-	-	-	-
2	a	a-	a-	bbb+	bbb	bbb	bbb-	-	-	-
3	a-	a-	bbb+	bbb+	bbb	bbb-	bbb-	bb+	-	-
4	bbb+	bbb+	bbb+	bbb	bbb	bbb-	bb+	bb	bb	-
5	bbb+	bbb	bbb	bbb	bbb-	bbb-	bb+	bb	bb-	b+
6	bbb	bbb	bbb-	bbb-	bbb-	bb+	bb	bb	bb-	b+
7	-	bbb-	bbb-	bb+	bb+	bb	bb	bb-	b+	b+
8	-	-	bb+	bb	bb	bb	bb-	bb-	b+	b
9	-	-	-	bb	bb-	bb-	b+	b+	b+	b
10	-	-	-	-	b+	b+	b+	b	b	b-

## Ratings Detail (As Of December 15, 2017)

### Die Schweizerische Post AG

Counterparty Credit Rating

AA+/Stable/A-1+

### Counterparty Credit Ratings History

28-Jun-2013

AA+/Stable/A-1+

21-Mar-2013

AA+/Negative/A-1+

### Sovereign Rating

Swiss Confederation

AAA/Stable/A-1+

### Related Entities

#### PostFinance AG

Issuer Credit Rating

AA+/Stable/A-1+

\*Unless otherwise noted, all ratings in this report are global scale ratings. S&P Global Ratings' credit ratings on the global scale are comparable across countries. S&P Global Ratings' credit ratings on a national scale are relative to obligors or obligations within that specific country. Issue and debt ratings could include debt guaranteed by another entity, and rated debt that an entity guarantees.

**Additional Contact:**

Financial Institutions Ratings Europe; FIG\_Europe@spglobal.com

Copyright © 2017 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription) and [www.spcapitaliq.com](http://www.spcapitaliq.com) (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.